



The editors are pleased to devote this entire issue of the *Journal for Peace and Justice Studies* to a single discussion that crosses the disciplines of theology, ethics, philosophy, and social and political theory. In "Rawlsian Liberalism, Moral Truth, and Augustinian Politics," Edmund N. Santurri proposes that "an Augustinian vision of political life supports, at least in modern contexts, the kind of philosophically and religiously neutral political arrangements typically commended by liberal political theory." Carefully assessing the political liberalism of John Rawls, Santurri emphasizes how neutral political arrangements can and ought to be justified in terms of the promotion and preservation of temporal peace, which is "served best by political institutions assuming allegiance to no particular all-encompassing world view." This important essay is both praised and seriously challenged in responses by David Dawson, Jean Bethke Elshtain, Timothy P. Jackson, Gilbert Meilaender, and Michael J. White.



The Journal for Peace and Justice Studies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 8, Number 2

EDMUND N. SANTURRI, Rawlsian Liberalism, Moral Truth and Augustinian Politics	1
DAVID DAWSON, Taking Metaphysical Compliments Seriously	37
JEAN BETHKE ELSHTAIN, An Unbridgeable Chasm	45
TIMOTHY P. JACKSON, <i>Prima Caritas, Inde Jus:</i> Why Augustinians Shouldn't Baptize John Rawls	49
GILBERT MEILAENDER, "The Things Relevant to Mortal Life": Divorcing Augustine from Rawls	63
MICHAEL J. WHITE, Peace or Justice?	69
NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS	76

