Staff Governance Council Meeting Agenda and Minutes November 1, 2023

- 1. Updates for the group
 - a. Ricky from HR will be joining us as the HR rep
 - b. Question about shift premium differential for 2nd and 3rd shifts what would the process be for this?
 - c. Making sure that all types of staff members are represented and that we are regularly hearing from all groups.
 - In order to keep the group at a workable size, it won't be possible to have representatives from each working group all the time.
 - ii. It would be helpful if the SGC could meet with second and third shift workers.
 - iii. There should be a clear route for issues to be brought to the SGC.
 - d. Would the staff climate survey in Spring 2025 be a good time to raise issues and step forward?
- 2. Recap meeting with Justin Barkhuff, California Lutheran University
 - a. We are not asking for something unprecedented.
 - b. The CLU President's team initially saw the Senate as a way to promote their initiatives and the Senate needed to advocate for their own voice.
 - c. Question raised about how we feel about how they don't have real authority but are used as a lobbying arm for Staff.
 - i. This was a compromise.
 - ii. Perhaps we aim for more authority with the realization that we may end up with compromise, too.

- iii. CLU stressed that the relationship between leadership and the Staff Senate was one of healthy dialogue and mutual benefits. It is not adversarial.
- iv. Advocacy counts for a lot.
- d. CLU was not too proscriptive in elections.
 - i. Follow up question: Did they define the size and breakdown of membership in the Staff Senate?
- e. Are there ways we can track staff turnover?
- f. Still a ton of work to do around belonging and mattering, especially among certain types of populations
 - i. How do we recognize staff achievements?
 - 1. ROAR awards
 - 2. There should be a more public recognition of staff awards and accomplishments.
 - a. Could the SGC distribute a newsletter?
- g. Justin connected Kelsey with their current Staff Senate President (Matt Yates) via email. Matt is willing to meet with us as well.
 - i. Group's feeling is that Matt will be a really useful conversation partner when we are a little further along in the process
- h. Additional questions we have for Justin and/or Matt
 - i. How do numbers of Senate members from each division get determined?
- 3. Recap of faculty/staff forum on academic freedom, hosted by the Academic Freedom Working Group (Audrey)
 - a. More staff than faculty were present at the meeting.
 - b. Interesting questions: to what extent does academic freedom allow you to speak from your disciplinary area vs. your right to speak more generally?
 - i. While the initial statement is more disciplinary, there is a lot of interdisciplinary work and research.

- c. Staff presented examples of where we might need Academic Freedom.
 - i. Library Research Guides
 - ii. Current draft has academic freedom in classroom teacher and research we'll see what happens.
 - iii. One of faculty goals is to include contingent faculty in the policy.
- d. Faculty will vote on this policy, but the question is how staff can vote on the policy.
- e. At-will employment can present obstacles to academic freedom.
- f. Audrey researched ACM schools to see if any of them gave academic freedom to staff.
 - i. Coe and Luther do so this is not unprecedented.
 - ii. Question of how this actually is lived out when there is conflict.

g. Faculty Allies

- i. How might we use faculty to advocate for the formation of staff governance?
- h. Are we able to start sharing about our work here?
 - i. Especially in our own areas.
 - ii. We can start talking about this now getting this out in our areas.
- 4. Begin brainstorming questions and updates for President Singer
 - a. We will begin with this at our next meeting.
 - b. Things to think about:
 - i. What is the argument for this to leadership?
 - ii. How can we show benefit to leadership as well as to us?
 - 1. Desire for happy staff.

- 2. This is a place for feedback as well.
- 3. Trust-building.
- iii. Push for belonging with students, but we also need belonging with staff.
 - 1. Consensus building, communication, transparency.
- c. Conversations with our VPs